www.rsc.org/dalton

Diastereocontrolled synthesis of *cis*-olefins by selective C–C bond formation between alkyl and alkynyl groups coordinated to "Ir(CH=CHPPh₃)(CO)(PPh₃)₂"[†]

Chong Shik Chin,* Mieock Kim, Gyongshik Won, Honghee Jung and Hyungeui Lee Department of Chemistry, Sogang University, Seoul 121-742, Korea. E-mail: cschin@ccs.sogang.ac.kr

Received 24th February 2003, Accepted 17th April 2003 First published as an Advance Article on the web 2nd May 2003

cis,cis-1,4-Dipropenylbenzene (cis,cis-p-C₆H₄(CH=CHCH₃)₂, cis-**DPB**) and cis,cis,cis-1,3,5-tripropenylbenzene (cis,cis,cis-m,m-C₆H₃(CH=CHCH₃)₃, cis-**TPB**) are obtained in high yields by reactions of di- and tri-nuclear alkyl-alkenyl-alkynyl iridium(III) compounds, $[p-C_6H_4(C=C-Ir(CH_3)(CH=CHPPh_3)(CO)(PPh_3)_2)_2]^{2+}$ 1 and $[m,m-C_6H_3(C=C-Ir(CH_3)(CH=CHPPh_3)(CO)(PPh_3)_2)_3]^{3+}$ 3 with HCl. The reaction of the mono-nuclear alkyl-alkenyl-alkynyl iridium(III) complex, $[Ir(CH_3)(CH=CHPPh_3)(C=C-p-C_6H_4CH_3)(CO)(PPh_3)_2]^{+}$ 7a with DCl selectively gives cis-CD₃CD=CD(p-C₆H₄CH₃) 8a-d₅ while two isomers, cis-C₆H₅CD₂CD=CD(p-C₆H₄CH₃) 8b-d₄ and cis-C₆H₅CD=CDCD₂(p-C₆H₄CH₃) 8b'-d₄ are obtained from the reaction of $[Ir(CH_2Ph)(CH=CHPPh_3)-(C=C-p-C_6H_4CH_3)(CO)(PPh_3)_2]^{+}$ 7b with DCl. Plausible reaction pathways containing the initial attack of H⁺ on the β-carbon of the alkynyl ligands to produce cis-alkenyl complexes that give η^2 -allene complexes are suggested for the selective and diastereocontrolled C–C bond forming reactions between alkyl and alkynyl groups to give cis-olefins, 8, cis-DPB, and cis-TPB.

Introduction

In the design of chemical reaction schemes to obtain selective and regiospecific products, transition metal-mediated carboncarbon bond forming reactions have been widely studied.¹ Metal-hydrocarbyls such as metal-alkyls, metal-alkenyls and metal-alkynyls are useful precursors and key intermediates in these C-C bond forming reactions between neighboring hydrocarbyl ligands.² We have studied C-C bond forming reactions mediated by alkynyl iridium complexes and recently reported synthesis of cross conjugated olefins and linear dienynes by the regio- and stereo-selective C-C bond formation between alkenyl and alkynyl ligands.³

In this paper, we report a diastereocontrolled synthesis of *cis,cis*-1,4-dipropenylbenzene *cis*-DPB and *cis,cis*-1,3,5-tripropenylbenzene *cis*-TPB in high yields from the selective C–C bond formation between alkyl and alkynyl groups of alkyl–alkenyl–alkynyl iridium(III) compounds and suggest plausible reaction pathways.

Results and discussion

Reactions of di- and tri-nuclear alkyl–alkenyl–alkynyl iridium(III) complexes, $[p-C_6H_4(C\equiv C-Ir(CH_3)(CH=CHPPh_3)(CO)-(PPh_3)_2)_2]^{2+}$ **1** and $[m,m-C_6H_3(C\equiv C-Ir(CH_3)(CH=CHPPh_3)-(CO)(PPh_3)_2)_3]^{3+}$ **3** with HCl give *cis,cis*-1,4-dipropenylbenzene *cis*-**DPB**⁴ and *cis,cis,cis*-1,3,5-tripropenylbenzene *cis*-**TPB** in high yields (eqns. (1) and (2)).

cis-DPB and *cis*-TPB have been unequivocally identified by detailed data (¹H, ¹³C NMR, ¹H NOE and ¹H, ¹³C-2D COSY spectral data and GC/MS measurements) (see Experimental section).



† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: NMR and mass spectra. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/dt/b3/b302153j/

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2003



Those di- and tri-nuclear alkyl–alkenyl–alkynyl iridium(III) complexes 1 and 3 have been prepared from the reactions of alkyl–alkynyl iridium(III) complexes 5 and 6 with HC=CH and PPh₃ in the presence of AgOTf as shown in Scheme 1.



Scheme 1

Complexes 1, 3, 5 and 6 have been unambiguously characterized by spectral (¹H, ¹³C, ³¹P NMR, ¹H, ¹³C-2D COSY and IR), elemental analysis and FAB-mass data (see Experimental section). Assignments of ¹H NMR signals due to Ir–C*H*=C*H*PPh₃ of 1 and 3 are rather straightforward by comparing the data with those for the related and well-characterized complexes.^{3b,5}

Di- and tri-nuclear complexes of transition metals linked by rigid alkynyl-aryl backbones ($-C \equiv C - p - C_6 H_4 - C \equiv C$ - and $-C \equiv C - m - C_6 H_3 - (C \equiv C)_2$ -) have been extensively studied with respect to their chemical and physical properties while reactions of those metal complexes have been rarely reported.⁶

In order to investigate the reaction pathways for the formation of *cis*-DPB and *cis*-TPB in eqns. (1) and (2), mononuclear alkyl-alkenyl-alkynyl iridium(III) complexes, [Ir(R)-(CH=CHPPh₃)(C=C-*p*-C₆H₄CH₃)(CO)(PPh₃)₂]⁺ (R = CH₃ 7a, CH₂Ph 7b) have been prepared in the similar manner to that of 1 and 3 (see Experimental section) and their reactions with H⁺ (D⁺) have been investigated. Reactions of 7 with HCl give *cis*-olefins, *cis*-CH₃CH=CH(*p*-C₆H₄CH₃) 8a, *cis*-PhCH₂CH= CH(*p*-C₆H₄CH₃) 8b and *cis*-PhCH=CHCH₂(*p*-C₆H₄CH₃) 8b' while those with DCl produce *cis*-CD₃CD=CD(*p*-C₆H₄CH₃) 8a-d₅, *cis*-PhCD₂-CD=CD(*p*-C₆H₄CH₃) 8b-d₄ and *cis*-PhCD= CDCD₂(*p*-C₆H₄CH₃) 8b'-d₄ (eqns. (3) and (4)).



Formation of 8 may be understood by the reaction pathways as shown in Scheme 2. The very similar mechanism was suggested for the formation of 8a from the reaction of the ammonium ylide, [Ir(CH₃)(C=CR)(CH=CHNEt₃)(CO)- $(PPh_3)_2$ ⁺ 7' with H⁺ (see below).^{3c} Accordingly, formation of cis-DPB and cis-TPB may also be explained by the same reaction pathways that involve the initial attack of H⁺ on the β-carbon of the alkynyl group and the C-C bond formation between the a-carbons of the alkyl and alkynyl ligands to give cis-alkenyl ligands. This C-C bond formation by an alkyl migration is quite similar to the alkynyl migation to the α -carbon of vinylidene proposed for the dimerization⁷ of terminal alkynes to give enynes. The H/D exchange to give the isotopomers (8a- d_5 , 8b- d_4 , 8b'- d_4) is also readily explained by the same mechanism previously suggested for the formation of **8a-** d_5 from the reaction of 7' with D⁺.^{3c}



It is somewhat surprising that the alkenyl ligands $(Ir-CH=CHPPh_3)$ of 1, 3 and 7 are not involved in the C–C bond forming reactions between adjacent alkynyl ligands while the alkenyl ligand $(Ir-CH=CHNEt_3)$ in $[Ir(CH_3)(C=CR)-(CH=CHNEt_3)(CO)(PPh_3)_2]^+$ 7' readily participates in the C–C bond forming reaction.^{3c} We recently found that *cis*-CH₃CH=CHR could be partly isolated only when the reaction is quenched at the early stage but $[Et_3NCH=CH-C(CH_3)=CH-(p-C_6H_4CH_3)]^+$ is the major product in high yield in the reaction of 7' with H^{+,3c}. This inertness of the Ir–C bond of Ir–CH=CHPPh₃ may be understood by previous studies that predict the M–C bonds being very much stabilized by various resonance forms such as $M^+=CH-CH=PR_3$ and $M-CH=CH-^+PR_3$.^{5c,8}

The yield of the *cis*-olefins seems dependent on the *trans* ligand (-CH=CHPPh₃) to the alkyl group. A variety of other products (such as CH₃CH=CH-*p*-C₆H₄-CH=CHCH₂-Cl, CH₃C=C-*p*-C₆H₄-CH=CHCH₂Cl, CH₃C=C-*p*-C₆H₄-CH=CHCH₂Cl, CH₃C=C-*p*-C₆H₄-CH=CHCH₂Cl, CH₃C=C-*p*-C₆H₄-CH=CHCH₂) are obtained with the *trans* ligand being I⁻ (**5**) or CH₃CN (**5**') in dinuclear complexes. It may also be mentioned that di- and tri-nuclear complexes containing the CH=CHNEt₃ ligand in place of -CH=CHPPh₃ of **1** and **3** have not been prepared yet and the yield of **8a** is quite low (\leq 40%) from the reaction of **7**' with H⁺.

In conclusion, the introduction of CH=CHPPh₃ ligand *trans* to alkyl group leads to selective and diastereocontrolled C–C bond formation between alkyl and alkynyl groups in the mono, di- and tri-nuclear alkyl–alkenyl–alkynyl iridium(III) complexes to give *cis*-olefins in high yields.

Experimental

General

A standard vacuum system and Schlenk type glassware were used in most of experimental procedures in handling metal compounds, although most of the compounds seem to be stable enough to be handled without much precautions in air.

DCl (37 wt% in D₂O) and HCl (32 wt% in H₂O) were purchased from Aldrich and Merck, respectively. $[Ir(NCCH_3)-(CO)(PPh_3)_2]OTf 4^9$ was prepared by the literature method.

NMR spectra were recorded on either a Varian Gemini 200, 300 or 500 spectrometer (¹H, 300 or 500 MHz; ¹³C, 126 MHz; ³¹P, 81 MHz). IR spectra were obtained on a Nicolet 205 spectrophotometer. Gas chromatography/mass spectra were determined by Hewlett Packard HP5890A and VG-trio 2000 instruments. Elemental analyses were carried out by a Carlo Erba EA 1108 CHNS–O analyzer at Organic Chemistry Research Center, Sogang University. FAB mass measurements were carried out with a JMS-HX110/110A tandem mass spectrometer at the Korea Basic Science Institute.

Synthesis

Preparation of [p-C₆H₄(C=C-Ir(CH₃)(CH=CHPPh₃)(CO)-(PPh₃)₂)₂](OTf)₂ 1. A reaction mixture of 5 (0.19 g, 0.10 mmol) and AgOTf (0.06 g, 0.23 mmol) in CHCl₃ (15 mL) was stirred for 30 min before AgI was removed by filtration. The reaction mixture was stirred under HC=CH (1 atm) in the presence of PPh₃ (0.06 g, 0.23 mmol) at 25 °C for 12 h before *n*-pentane (30 mL) was added to precipitate beige microcrystals which were collected by filtration, washed with *n*-pentane $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$ and dried under vacuum (0.27 g, 97%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 9.79 (ddt, J = 33.0, 19.0, 1.5 Hz, Ir-CH=CHPPh₃, 2H), 6.8-8.0 (m, Ir-PPh₃ and Ir-CH=CHP(C₆H₅)₃, 90H), 6.78 (s, Ir-C=CC₆H₄-, 4H), 6.36 (ddt, J = 36.0, 19.0, 1.5 Hz, Ir-CH= $CHPPh_3$, 2H), 0.26 (t, J = 5.5 Hz, Ir– CH_3 , 6H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 181.7 (m, Ir-CH=CHPPh₃), 172.8 (s, Ir-CO), 129.9 (s, CH of Ir–C=CC₆H₄–), 125.0 (s, C_{ipso} , Ir–C=CC₆H₄–), 111.8 (s, Ir–C=C), 110.8 (dm, J = 67.9 Hz, Ir–CH=CHPPh₃), 89.3 (br s, Ir–C=C), –27.6 (dt, J = 6.0 Hz, Ir– CH_3). ¹H, ¹³C-2D COSY (¹H (500 MHz) \rightarrow ¹³C (126 MHz)): δ 9.79 \rightarrow 181.7; $\begin{array}{l} 6.78 \rightarrow 129.9; \ 6.36 \rightarrow 110.8; \ 0.26 \rightarrow -27.6. \ ^{31}\mathrm{P}\{^{1}\mathrm{H}\} \ \mathrm{NMR} \ (81) \\ \mathrm{MHz}, \ \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}): \ \delta \ 14.50 \ (\mathrm{t}, \ J=3.8 \ \mathrm{Hz}, \ \mathrm{Ir-CH=CHPPh}_{3}), \ -14.36 \\ \mathrm{(d}, \ J=3.8 \ \mathrm{Hz}, \ \mathrm{Ir-PPh}_{3}). \ \mathrm{IR} \ (\mathrm{KBr}, \ \mathrm{cm}^{-1}): \ 2121 \ (\mathrm{w}, \ \nu_{\mathrm{CEC}}), \ 2032 \ (\mathrm{s}, \ \nu_{\mathrm{CO}}), \ 1272, \ 1151, \ 1031 \ (\mathrm{uncoordinated} \ \mathrm{OTf}). \ \mathrm{MS} \ (\mathrm{FAB}): \ m/z \\ 2369 \ [\mathrm{M}^{+} \ - \ \mathrm{OTf}]. \ \mathrm{Anal}. \ \mathrm{Calc}. \ \mathrm{for} \ \ \mathrm{Ir}_{2}\mathrm{P}_{6}\mathrm{O}_{8}\mathrm{S}_{2}\mathrm{F}_{6}\mathrm{C}_{128}\mathrm{H}_{104}: \ \mathrm{C}, \\ \mathrm{61.04;} \ \mathrm{H}, \ 4.16. \ \mathrm{Found}: \ \mathrm{C}, \ 61.20; \ \mathrm{H}, \ 4.20\%. \end{array}$

Preparation of [m,m-C₆H₃(C=C-Ir(CH₃)(CH=CHPPh₃)(CO)-(PPh₃)₂](OTf)₃ 3. This compound was prepared by a similar method as described above for 1 by using 6. Yield: 0.11 g, 85%. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃COCD₃): δ 9.77 (dd, J = 33.0, 19.0 Hz, Ir-CH=CHPPh₃, 3H), 7.0-8.0 (m, Ir-PPh₃, Ir-CH=CHP- $(C_6H_5)_3$ and $m,m-C_6H_3-C\equiv C-Ir$, 138H), 6.34 (dd, J = 35.0, 19.0Hz, Ir-CH=CHPPh₃, 3H), 0.33 (t, J = 5.5 Hz, Ir-CH₃, 9H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CD₃COCD₃): δ 180.8 (m, Ir-CH=CHPPh₃), 172.7 (s, Ir–CO), 113.2 (s, Ir–C \equiv C), 113.0 (dm, J = 62.4 Hz, Ir-CH=CHPPh₃), 88.8 (br s, Ir-C=C), -26.9 (dt, J = 6.0 Hz, Ir-CH₃). ¹H, ¹³C-2D COSY (¹H (500 MHz) \rightarrow ¹³C (126 MHz)): $\delta 9.77 \rightarrow 180.8; 6.34 \rightarrow 113.0; 0.33 \rightarrow -26.9. {}^{31}P{}^{1}H{} NMR$ (81 MHz, CD₃COCD₃): δ 15.27 (t, J = 3.5 Hz, Ir-CH= CHPPh₃), -19.26 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, Ir-PPh₃). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 2116 (w, $v_{C=C}$), 2035 (s, v_{CO}), 1266, 1149, 1032 (uncoordinated OTf). MS (FAB): m/z 3589 [M⁺ - OTf]. Anal. Calc. for $Ir_{3}P_{9}O_{12}S_{3}F_{9}C_{189}H_{153}$: C, 60.71; H, 4.12. Found: C, 60.54; H, 3.99%.

Preparation of $p-C_6H_4(C=C-Ir(CH_3)(I)(CO)(PPh_3)_2)_2$ 5. A reaction mixture of 4 (0.20 g, 0.21 mmol) and $p-C_6H_4$ (C=CH)₂ (0.014 g, 0.11 mmol) in CHCl₃ (10 mL) was stirred in the presence of NEt₃ (0.03 mL, 0.25 mmol) for 20 min to produce $p-C_6H_4(C=C-Ir(CO)(PPh_3)_2)_2$ before excess CH₃I (0.07 mL, 1.12 mmol) was added to the dark brown reaction mixture. The reaction mixture turned pale yellow within 1 h. A 10 mL portion of water was added to the solution, and excess NEt₃ and H⁺NEt₃OTf⁻ in the aqueous layer were separated from the reaction mixture. Addition of *n*-pentane (30 mL) to the CHCl₃ solution resulted in precipitation of beige microcrystals of 5, which were collected by filtration, washed with n-pentane $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$ and dried under vacuum (0.16 g, 84%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.0–8.2, 7.3–7.4 (Ir–PPh₃, 60H), 6.85 (s, Ir-C=CC₆H₄, 4H), 0.77 (t, J = 5.4 Hz, Ir-CH₃, 6H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 169.8 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, Ir–CO), 134.6 (t), 131.8 (t), 130.3 (s), 127.9 (t) (Ir-PPh₃), 130.2 (s), 125.2 (s) (Ir- $C \equiv CC_6H_4$), 114.1 (s, Ir- $C \equiv C$), 88.3 (t, J = 18.5 Hz, Ir- $C \equiv C$), -14.9 (t, J = 3.4 Hz, Ir-CH₃). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (81 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 17.17$ (s, Ir-PPh₃). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 2120 (w, $v_{C=C}$), 2051 (s, $v_{\rm CO}$). MS (FAB): *m*/*z* 1897 [M⁺]. Anal. Calc. for Ir₂P₄O₂I₂-C₈₆H₇₀: C, 54.43; H, 3.72; Found: C, 54.43; H, 3.73%.

Preparation of *m,m*-C₆H₃(C≡C–Ir(CH₃)(I)(CO)(PPh₃)₂)₃ 6. This compound was prepared by the similar method as described above for 5 by using *m,m*-C₆H₃(C≡CH)₃ (0.17 g, 86%). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.0–8.2, 7.0–7.3 (Ir–PPh₃ and *m,m*-C₆H₃–C≡C–Ir, 93H), 0.87 (t, Ir–CH₃, 9H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 169.7 (m, Ir–CO), 113.6 (s, Ir–C≡C), 87.1 (t, *J* = 18.0 Hz, Ir–*C*≡C), −14.9 (t, *J* = 3.0 Hz, Ir–CH₃). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (81 MHz, CDCl₃): δ −18.34 (s, Ir–PPh₃). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 2122 (w, *v*_{C≡C}), 2043 (s, *v*_{CO}). MS (FAB): *m/z* 2808 [M⁺]. Anal. Calc. for Ir₃P₆O₃I₃C₁₂₆H₁₀₂: C, 53.91; H, 3.66. Found: C, 54.01; H, 3.70%.

Preparation of [Ir(R)(CH=CHPPh₃)(C=C-*p***-C₆H₄CH₃)-(CO)(PPh₃)₂]OTf (R = CH₃ 7a, CH₂Ph 7b). Compound 7b was prepared by the same method as described below for 7a by using PhCH₂Br instead of CH₃I. The reaction mixture of 4 (0.10 g, 0.11 mmol) and HC=C(***p***-C₆H₄CH₃) (0.016 mL, 0.13 mmol) in CHCl₃ (10 mL) was stirred in the presence of NEt₃ (0.02 mL, 0.15 mmol) for 5 min to produce Ir(C=C-***p***-C₆H₄-CH₃)(CO)(PPh₃)₂ before excess CH₃I (0.04 mL, 0.6 mmol) was added to the dark brown reaction mixture. The reaction** mixture turned pale yellow within 1 h. A 10 mL portion of water was added to the solution, and excess NEt₃ and H⁺NEt₃-OTf⁻ in the aqueous layer were separated from the reaction mixture. AgOTf (0.04 g, 0.15 mmol) was added to the reaction mixture, which was stirred for 30 min. After AgI was removed by filtration, the reaction mixture was stirred under HC=CH (1 atm) in the presence of PPh₃ (0.05 g, 0.2 mmol) at 25 °C for 5 h before *n*-pentane (30 mL) was added to precipitate beige microcrystals which were collected by filtration, washed with *n*-pentane (3 × 10 mL) and dried under vacuum (0.138 g, 96%).

[Ir(CH₃)(CH=CHPPh₃)(C=C-p-C₆H₄CH₃)(CO)(PPh₃)₂]OTf **7a**. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 9.79 (ddt, J = 33.5, 19.0, 1.5 Hz, Ir-CH=CHPPh₃), 6.8-8.0 (Ir-PPh₃, Ir-CH=CHP(C₆H₅)₃ and $p-C_6H_4CH_3$, 49H), 6.41 (ddt, J = 36.8, 19.0, 1.5 Hz, Ir-CH= $CHPPh_{3}$), 2.32 (s, Ir-C=C-*p*-C₆H₄CH₃, 3H), 0.27 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, Ir-CH₃, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 182.2 (m, Ir-CH=CHPPh₃), δ 172.8 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, Ir–CO), 111.3 (s, Ir–C=C $p-C_6H_4CH_3$, 110.4 (m, J = 65.9 Hz, Ir-CH=CHPPh₃), 86.3 (t, $J = 17.6 \text{ Hz}, \text{ Ir}-C \equiv C-p-C_6 H_4 CH_3), 21.1 (s, \text{ Ir}-C \equiv C-p-C_6 H_4 CH_3),$ -27.9 (q, J = 6.2 Hz, Ir–CH₃). ¹H,¹³C-2D COSY (¹H (500 MHz)) \rightarrow ¹³C (126 MHz)): δ 9.79 \rightarrow 182.2; 6.41 \rightarrow 110.4; 2.32 → 21.1; 0.27 → -27.9. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (81 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 14.14 (t, J = 3.5 Hz, Ir-CH=CHPPh₃), -14.34 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, Ir–PPh₃). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 2123 (s, $v_{C=C}$), 2035 (s, v_{CO}), 1270, 1150, 1032 (br s, due to uncoordinated triflate). Anal. Calc. for IrP₃O₄SF₃C₆₈H₅₇: C, 62.23; H, 4.38. Found: C, 62.30; H, 4.25%. [Ir(CH₂Ph)(CH=CHPPh₃)(C=C-p-C₆H₄CH₃)(CO)(PPh₃)₂]-

OTf **7b**. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 9.80 (ddt, J = 27.3, 19.0, 1.5 Hz, Ir-CH=CHPPh₃), 6.8-8.0 (Ir-PPh₃, Ir-CH= CHP(C₆ H_5)₃ and p-C₆ H_4 CH₃, 49H), 6.25 (ddt, J = 35.0, 19.0,1.5 Hz, Ir-CH=CHPPh₃), 6.76 (t), 6.68 (t), 5.78 (d) (Ir- $CH_2C_6H_5$, 5H), 3.26 (t, J = 5.5 Hz, $Ir-CH_2C_6H_5$, 2H), 2.38 (s, Ir-C=C-p-C₆H₄CH₃, 3H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₁): δ 178.0 (m, Ir–*C*H=CHPPh₃), δ 173.6 (t, *J* = 5.0 Hz, Ir–CO), 122.3 (s), 124.2 (s), 127.1 (s), 127.9 (s) (Ir- $CH_2C_6H_5$), 113.4 (s, $Ir-C \equiv C-p-C_6H_4CH_3$, 109.9 (d, J = 66.6 Hz, $Ir-CH = CHPPh_3$), 84.9 (t, J = 17.7 Hz, Ir-C=C-p-C₆H₄CH₃), 21.1 (s, Ir-C=C-p-C₆H₄CH₃), 1.45 (m, Ir-CH₂C₆H₅). ¹H, ¹³C-2D COSY (¹H (500 MHz) \rightarrow ¹³C (126 MHz)): δ 9.80 \rightarrow 178.0; 6.25 \rightarrow 109.9; 6.76 \rightarrow 122.3; 6.68 \rightarrow 127.1; 5.78 \rightarrow 127.9; 3.26 \rightarrow 1.45; 2.38 \rightarrow 21.1. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (81 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 13.42 (t, J = 3.6 Hz, Ir-CH=CHPPh₃), -18.77 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, Ir-PPh₃). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 2123 (s, $v_{C=C}$), 2037 (s, v_{CO}), 1273, 1151, 1031 (br s, due to uncoordinated triflate). Anal. Calc. for IrP3O4SF3C74H61: C, 64.01; H, 4.43. Found: C, 64.21; H, 4.49%.

Reactions

Reactions of di- and tri-nuclear alkyl-alkenyl-alkynyl iridium(III) complexes with HCl: formation of cis, cis-p-C₆H₄-(CH=CHCH₃)₂, cis-DPB and cis,cis,cis-m,m-C₆H₃(CH= CHCH₃)₃, cis-TPB. These reactions were carried by the same manner as below for the reaction of 1 with HCl to give cis-DPB. HCl (0.05 mL, 0.52 mmol of H₂O containing 32 wt% HCl) was added to a solution of 1 (0.38 g, 0.15 mmol) in CHCl₃ (15 mL) at 25 °C, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h. Excess HCl was removed by washing with H₂O. Addition of *n*-pentane (10 mL) to the CHCl₃ solution resulted in the beige microcrystals of [IrCl₂(CH=CHPPh₃)(CO)(PPh₃)₂]OTf 2 which were collected by filtration. The filtrate was distilled at 25 °C under vacuum to less than 1.0 mL and the residue was eluted with *n*-pentane on a column packed with silica gel to obtain cis-DPB. The yield of cis-DPB was ca. 92% measured by ¹H NMR in CDCl₃.

cis,*cis*-*p*-C₆H₄(CH=CHCH₃)₂, *cis*-DPB. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.32 (s, C₆H₄(CH=CHCH₃)₂, 4H), 6.46 (dd, J = 11.7, 1.5 Hz, C₆H₄(CH=CHCH₃)₂, 2H), 5.82 (dq, J = 11.7, 7.4 Hz, C₆H₄(CH=CHCH₃)₂, 2H), 1.96 (dd, J = 7.4, 1.5 Hz, C₆H₄(CH=CHCH₃)₂, 6H). ¹H NOE measurement (500 MHz, CDCl₃): irradiation of the signal at 5.82 ppm shows a positive NOE

effect on the signal at 6.46 ppm. ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 133.8 (s, C_{ipso} , $C_6H_4(CH=CHCH_3)_2$), 129.6 (s, $C_6H_4(CH=CHCH_3)_2$), 128.6 (s, CH carbons of $C_6H_4(CH=CHCH_3)_2$), 126.7 (s, $C_6H_4(CH=CHCH_3)_2$), 14.7 (s, $C_6H_4(CH=CHCH_3)_2$). ¹H, ¹³C-2D COSY (¹H (500 MHz) \rightarrow ¹³C (126 MHz)): δ 7.32 \rightarrow 128.6; 6.46 \rightarrow 129.6; 5.82 \rightarrow 126.7; 1.96 \rightarrow 14.7. MS: *m/z* 158 (M⁺).

cis,cis,cis-m,m-C₆H₃(CH=CHCH₃)₃, *cis*-TPB. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl): δ 7.04 (s, C₆H₃(CH=CHCH₃)₃, 3H), 6.38 (dm, J = 11.6 Hz, C₆H₃(CH=CHCH₃)₃, 3H), 5.74 (dq, J = 11.6, 7.5 Hz, C₆H₃(CH=CHCH₃)₃, 3H), 1.87 (dd, J = 7.5, 1.5 Hz, C₆H₃(CH=CHCH₃)₃, 9H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 137.2 (s, C_{ipso}, C₆H₄(CH=CHCH₃)₂), 129.9 (s, C₆H₄(CH=CHCH₃)₂), 127.4 (s, CH carbons of C₆H₄(CH=CHCH₃)₂), 126.8 (s, C₆H₄(CH=CHCH₃)₂), 14.7 (s, C₆H₄(CH=CHCH₃)₂). ¹H, ¹³C-2D COSY (¹H (500 MHz) → ¹³C (126 MHz)): δ 7.04 → 127.4; 6.38 → 129.9; 5.74 → 126.8; 1.87 → 14.7. MS: *m*/*z* 198 (M⁺).

[IrCl₂(CH=CHPPh₃)(CO)(PPh₃)₂]OTf **2**. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 9.48 (ddt, J = 29.7, 17.5, 3.3 Hz, Ir–CH=CHPPh₃), 6.8–8.2 (m, Ir–PPh₃ and Ir–CH=CHP(C₆H₅)₃, 46H). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (81 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 14.14 (t, J = 3.5 Hz, Ir–CH=CHPPh₃), -14.34 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, Ir–PPh₃). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 2056 (s, ν_{CO}), 1271, 1154, 1031 (br s, due to uncoordinated triflate). Anal. Calc. for IrP₃O₄SF₃C₅₈H₄₇: C, 58.93; H, 4.01. Found: C, 59.03; H, 4.07%.

Reaction of $[Ir(R)(CH=CHPPh_3)(C=C-p-C_6H_4CH_3)(CO)-(PPh_3)_2]OTf (R = CH_3 7a, CH_2Ph 7b) with H(D)Cl: formation$ of*cis* $-CH_3(D_3)CH(D)=CH(D)($ *p* $-C_6H_4CH_3) 8a,$ *cis* $-C_6H_5CH_2-(D_2)CD=CD($ *p* $-C_6H_4CH_3) 8b and$ *cis* $-C_6H_5CH(D)=CH(D)CH_2-(D_2)($ *p* $-C_6H_4CH_3) 8b'. These reactions were carried by the same$ manner as above for 1 with HCl. The yield of*cis* $-CH_3CH=$ CH(*p* $-C_6H_4CH_3) 8a was$ *ca*. 95% measured by ¹H NMR in $CDCl_3. The ratio (65 : 35) of$ *cis* $-C_6H_5CH_2C=C($ *p* $-C_6H_4CH_3) 8b$ to*cis* $-C_6H_5CH=CHCH_2($ *p* $-C_6H_4CH_3) 8b' was determined by ¹H$ $NMR in CDCl_3.$

cis-CH₃CH=CH(*p*-C₆H₄CH₃) **8a.** ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.12–7.22 (AB quartet with $\delta v/J = 2.3$, J = 8.0 Hz, p-C₆H₄CH₃, 4H), 6.40 (dd, J = 11.4, 1.5 Hz, CH₃CH=CH-(*p*-C₆H₄CH₃)), 5.74 (dq, J = 11.4, 7.2 Hz, CH₃CH=CH(*p*-C₆H₄-CH₃)), 2.36 (s, *p*-C₆H₄CH₃, 3H), 1.89 (dd, J = 7.2, 1.5 Hz, CH₃CH=CH(*p*-C₆H₄CH₃), 3H). ¹H NOE measurement (500 MHz, CDCl₃): irradiation of the signal at 5.74 ppm shows a positive NOE effect on the signal at 6.40 ppm. ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 136.1, 134.8, 129.7, 128.8, 128.7, 126.0 (olefinic carbons and *p*-C₆H₄CH₃), 21.1 (s, *p*-C₆H₄CH₃), 14.6 (s, CH₃CH=CH(*p*-C₆H₄CH₃)). MS: *m*/z 132 (M⁺).

cis-CD₃CD=CD(*p*-C₆H₄CH₃) **8a**-*d*₅. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.12–7.22 (AB quartet with $\delta v/J = 2.3$, J = 8.0 Hz, *p*-C₆H₄CH₃, 4H), 2.36 (s, *p*-C₆H₄CH₃, 3H). MS: *m*/*z* 137 (M⁺).

cis-PhCH₂CH=CH(p-C₆H₄CH₃) **8b.** ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.2–7.3 (m, C₆H₅CH₂CH=CH(p-C₆H₄CH₃), 9H), 6.59 (d, J = 11.5 Hz, PhCH₂CH=CH(p-C₆H₄CH₃), 5.85 (dt, J = 11.5, 7.5 Hz, PhCH₂CH=CH(p-C₆H₄CH₃)), 3.72 (dd, J = 7.5, 1.0 Hz, PhCH₂CH=CH(p-C₆H₄CH₃), 2H), 2.39 (s, p-C₆H₄CH₃, 3H). ¹H NOE measurement (500 MHz, CDCl₃): irradiation of the signal at 5.85 ppm shows a positive NOE effect on the signal at 6.59 ppm. ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 130.0 (PhCH₂CH=CH(p-C₆H₄CH₃)), 129.8 (PhCH₂CH=CH(p-C₆H₄CH₃)), 34.7 (PhCH₂CH=CH(p-C₆H₄CH₃)), 21.2 (s, p-C₆H₄CH₃). ¹H, ¹³C-2D COSY (¹H (500 MHz) → ¹³C (126 MHz)): δ 6.59 → 129.8; 5.85 → 130.0; 3.72 → 34.7; 2.89 → 21.2. MS: *m*/z 208 (M⁺).

cis-PhCD₂CD=CD(p-C₆H₄CH₃) **8b**-*d*₄. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.2–7.3 (m, C₆H₅CD₂CD=CD(p-C₆H₄CH₃), 9H), 2.89 (s, p-C₆H₄CH₃, 3H). MS: *m/z* 212 (M⁺).

cis-PhCH=CHCH₂(p-C₆H₄CH₃) **8b**'. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.2–7.3 (m, C₆H₅CH=CHCH₂(p-C₆H₄CH₃), 9H),

6.61 (d, J = 11.5 Hz, PhCH=CHCH₂(p-C₆H₄CH₃), 5.88 (dt, J = 11.5, 7.5 Hz, PhCH=CHCH₂(p-C₆H₄CH₃)), 3.67 (dd, J = 7.5, 1.0 Hz, PhCH=CHCH₂(p-C₆H₄CH₃), 2H), 2.36 (s, p-C₆H₄CH₃, 3H). ¹H NOE measurement (500 MHz, CDCl₃): irradiation of the signal at 5.88 ppm shows a positive NOE effect on the signal at 6.61 ppm. ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 131.0 (PhCH=CHCH₂(p-C₆H₄CH₃)), 129.7 (PhCH=CHCH₂: (p-C₆H₄CH₃)), 34.2 (PhCH=CHCH₂(p-C₆H₄CH₃)), 21.0 (s, p-C₆H₄CH₃)). ¹H, ¹³C-2D COSY (¹H (500 MHz) \rightarrow ¹³C (126 MHz)): δ 6.61 \rightarrow 129.7; 5.88 \rightarrow 131.0; 3.67 \rightarrow 34.2; 2.36 \rightarrow 21.0. MS: m/z 208 (M⁺).

cis-PhCD=CDCD₂(p-C₆H₄CH₃) **8b**'- d_4 . ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.2–7.3 (m, C₆H₅CD=CDCD₂(p-C₆H₄CH₃), 9H), 2.36 (s, p-C₆H₄CH₃, 3H). MS: *m*/*z* 212 (M⁺).

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Korea Basic Science Institute for FAB-mass measurements and Korea Research Foundation (KRF) for their financial support of this study through the Basic Science Research Institute program (Grant No. 2000-015-DP0224).

References

- 1 G. W. Parshall and S. D. Ittel, *Homogeneous Catalysis*, Wiley, New York, 2nd edn., 1992; R. R. Moser and D. W. Slocum, *Homogeneous Transition Metal Catalyzed Reactions*, American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 1990.
- R. H. Crabtree, *The Organometallic Chemistry of the Transition* Metals, Wiley, New York, 2nd edn., 1994, pp. 161–174; J. P. Collman, L. S. Hegedus, J. R. Norton and R. G. Finke, *Principles and* Applications of Organotransition Metal Chemistry, University Science Books, Mill Valley, CA, 1987, pp 355–428; N. Etkin, T. L. Dzwiniel, K. E. Schweibert and J. M. Stryker, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1998, 120, 9702; K. E. Schweibert and J. M. Stryker, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1995, 117, 8275.
- 3 (a) C. S. Chin, G. Won, D. Chong, M. Kim and H. Lee, Acc. Chem. Res., 2002, 35, 218; (b) C. S. Chin, M. Kim and H. Lee, Organometallics, 2002, 21, 1679; (c) C. S. Chin, H. Cho, G. Won, M. Oh and K. M. Ok, Organometallics, 1999, 18, 4810; (d) C. S. Chin, W. Maeng, D. Chong, G. Won, B. Lee, Y. J. Park and J. M. Shin, Organometallics, 1999, 18, 2210.
- 4 The product of the reaction of 1 with H^+ was incorrectly described as the *trans*, *trans*-1, 4-dipropenylbenzene.^{3a}
- 5 (a) C. S. Chin, Y. Park, J. Kim and B. Lee, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1995, 1495; (b) K. Yang, S. G. Bott and M. G. Richmond, Organometallics, 1994, 13, 3767; (c) D. M. Hoffman, J. C. Huffman, D. Lappas and D. A. Wierda, Organometallics, 1993, 12, 4312; (d) C. S. Chin, H. Lee and M. Oh, Organometallics, 1997, 16, 816.
- 6 S. Leininger and P. J. Stang, Organometallics, 1998, 17, 3981;
 M. C. B. Colbert, J. Lewis, N. J. Long, P. R. Raithby, M. Younus,
 A. J. P. White, D. J. Williams, N. N. Payne, L. Yellowlees, D. Beljonne,
 N. Chawdhury and R. H. Friend, Organometallics, 1998, 17, 3034;
 O. Lavastre, J. Plass, P. Bachmann, S. Guesmi, C. Moinet and
 P. H. Dixneuf, Organometallics, 1997, 16, 184; R. R. Tykwinski and
 P. J. Stang, Organometallics, 1994, 13, 3203; A. Santos, J. López,
 J. Montoya, P. Noheda, A. Romero and A. M. Echavarren,
 Organometallics, 1994, 13, 3605; J. Gil-Rubio, M. Laubender and
 H. Werner, Organometallics, 2000, 19, 1365; M. L. Buil, M. A.
 Esteruelas, A. M. López and E. Oñate, Organometallics, 1997, 16, 3169.
- 7 C. Bruneau and P. H. Dixneuf, Acc. Chem. Res., 1999, 32, 311; T. Ohmura, S. Yorozuya, Y. Yamamoto and N. Miyaura, Organometallics, 2000, 19, 365; C. Slugovc, K. Mereiter, E. Zobetz, R. Schmid and K. Kirchner, Organometallics, 1996, 15, 5275; C. S. Yi and N. Liu, Organometallics, 1996, 15, 3968; Y. Wakatsuki, N. Koga, H. Yamazaki and K. Morokuma, J., Am. Chem. Soc., 1994, 116, 8105; Y. Wakatsuki, H. Yamazaki, N. Kumegawa, T. Satoh and J. Y. Satoh, J., Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113, 9604.
- 8 H. Schmidbaur, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1983, 22, 907; L. Viau, C. Lepetit, G. Commenges and R. Chauvin, Organometallics, 2001, 20, 808.
- 9 L. Vaska and J. Peone, Jr., Suom. Kemi., 1971, b44, 317.